Machine Learning Point Classification

1 About Sense.Lidar



Why it is necessary



Few classifications



Expensive



Inaccurate classifications



Minimal use-cases



Time consuming



Automation for up-scaling



Key features



Accurately classifies lidar point clouds to best represent the feature to 95%-99% accuracy.



Efficiently and accurately assists with creating lidar-derived 3D digital twins of natural and built assets.



Can be localised or scaled to city, state or country-wide analyses through cloud-based processing.



Available Lidar

State and federal programs - not all data is created equal



- Abundance of existing lidar data
- Lidar data vintage (3+ years) considered for new collection
- Older datasets can be improved for better change analysis

Available Lidar

State and federal programs – not all data is created equal





2 TNRIS Classification Project



Texas Enhanced Lidar Data

TWDB / TNRIS North, Central, and East Texas





Sense.Lidar is Fugro's machine learning process for accurately classifying USGS lidar data.

The data is enhanced from the standard USGS classifications to include Buildings, Vegetation, and Culverts to a 99% accuracy.

Accessible at https://data.tnris.org/



9 Sense.Lidar

Texas Enhanced Lidar Data

Texas Natural Resources Information System





Existing USGS QL2 lidar

- Class 1. processed, but unclassified
- Class 2. bare earth
- Class 7. low noise
- Class 9. water
- Class 10. ignored ground (near breakline)
- Class 17. bridge decks
- Class 18. high noise

97,836 national grid tiles

83,184.5 square miles

Lidar point cloud classified to TNRIS specifications from class 1

- Class 3. low vegetation
- Class 4. medium vegetation
- Class 5. high vegetation
- Class 6. building
- Class 14. culverts (from class 2. bare earth)

3 Production Workflow



Sense.Lidar Workflow

Fully automated with human assisted feature extraction



Sense.Lidar Data Management

Managing data imperfections at ingest



Data gaps in Class 1 & 2



Low point density in Class 1 & 2



Improper class 7



Missing partial buildings



Data gaps and low point density in class 2



Data gap



Sense.Lidar Land Cover

Segment lidar AOI by land cover for training



Area and Land Cover Type

- . 97,836 national grid tiles
- 2. 15 NLCDs
 - 1. Open water
 - 2. Developed Open space
 - 3. Developed Low intensity
 - 4. Developed Medium intensity
 - 5. Developed High intensity
 - 6. Barren land
 - 7. Deciduous forest
 - 8. Evergreen forest
 - 9. Mixed forest
 - 10. Shrub/scrub
 - 11. Herbaceous
 - 12. Hay/pasture
 - 13. Cultivated crops
 - 14. Woody wetlands
 - 15. Emergent herbaceous wetlands

Project Specifications

- 1. USGS QL2
 - 1. Processed (1)
 - 2. Bare earth (2)
 - 3. Low noise (7)
 - 4. Water (9)
 - 5. Bridge deck (17)
 - 6. High noise (18)
 - 7. Ignored ground (20)
- 2. Sense.Lidar classifications
 - 1. Low vegetation (3)
 - 2. Medium vegetation (4)

Tugro

- 3. High vegetation (5)
- 4. Building (6)
- 5. Culverts (14)

Sense.Lidar Training

Create lidar training datasets based on land cover type









Sense.Lidar Edit and Delivery

Human-assisted edit and cloud delivery





4 Accuracy

17 Sense.Lidar



Sense.Lidar Accuracy

Accuracy is key for performing analysis



- 1. Create near-perfect accuracy check lidar tiles
- 2. Run comparison between near perfect tiles and auto classification tiles

fugro

Adding classifications
1.Low vegetation (3)
2.Medium vegetation (4)
3.High vegetation (5)
4.Building (6)
5.Culverts (14)

5 USGS to State Specifications Results

TUGRO

Sense.Lidar Results

Enhanced USGS Lidar to include Buildings, Vegetation, and Culverts





Sense.Lidar Results

Enhanced USGS Lidar to include Buildings, Vegetation, and Culverts





Sense.Lidar Results

Enhanced USGS Lidar to include Buildings, Vegetation, and Culverts





6 Enhancing Existing Texas Data



Urban area test area in Houston Texas



- Using Fugro-developed
 2018 topographic lidar
 to TNRIS specifications
- Sense.Lidar used to determine the accuracy of enhancing legacy data
- Fugro removed the lidar classification and ran the tiles through Sense.Lidar to reclassify the vegetation and buildings from the 4ppsm data



Existing 2018 Houston data review

- Existing/vintage data
 used macros combined
 with manual techniques
 for classifying lidar data
- This required a production workflow that was labor intensive and expensive
- Projects often sacrificed quality to fit budgets and schedules.

Many points in unclassified or misclassified from COTS Macro development and human assisted editing

fugro

- Unclassified removed to visualize existing/vintage data classification imperfections
- Powerlines, poles, buildings and other utility features show up in veg class



- Sense.lidar machine learning outperforms COTS software macros and human editing
- The automated process achieves, on average, a 95% accuracy
- This provides opportunity for humanassisted feature extraction (HAFI) to focus on the fine details to achieve 99% accuracy



- Nadir view of lidar points shows the magnitude of unclassified points in vegetation class
- This reduces the amount of available veg points for further analysis
- Misclassified points skew analysis results or measurements like vegetation density, geolocation and inventory



Existing 2018 Houston data review

Unclassified points removed reveals vegetation classification in transportation features, utility assets, cars, and buildings

 To perform detailed analysis of urban area assets and urban forestry inventory Sense.Lidar is used to correct the legacy errors

FUGRO

- Sense.Lidar results show better classification of utility assets, transportation features, buildings, and vegetation
- This improves Geo-data analysis allowing users to make better decisions based on better data



7 Expanded use of Sense.Lidar

- TUGRO

Better Data with Sense.Lidar

Better point classifications assist in created better data



Building footprints and building flattened DEM



Accurate vegetation geolocation and density



Improved LOD1 and LOD2 building models



Accurate vegetation height



Improved asset identification



UGRO

Accurate and efficient change analysis

Expanding the Use of Lidar

With more classifications, more analysis can be done!





Improving Existing Lidar

Showing promise for building models from updated building classification





Improving Existing Lidar

Showing promise for building models from updated building classification





Helping Improve 3D Models

Using better classified lidar data to improve 3D model output





Combining Accuracy and Visualization

Merging Sense.Lidar results with 3D model processing expands options for product output





Sense.Lidar Roadmap

Roadmap of Sense.Lidar

- Continue creating land category machine learning datasets
- Build programs for 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64+ ppsm lidar data input
- Add classifications to support transportation, facilities, water management, forestry and agriculture
- Improve existing data to support more accurate change analysis



About us Brief overview of Fugro



Introduction to Fugro

UERO

Using our Triple A approach

AcquisitionAnalysisAdviceof Geo-dataof Geo-databased on expertise



Global player with local presence

We meet our clients' local Geo-data needs by mobilising global resources quickly and effectively



fugro

Note: Revenue in EUR million. Charts are based on FY2020 results

43 | Introduction to Fugro

Market-agnostic assets

Our Geo-data assets are easily deployable across global markets





























Contact



Keith Owens Technical and Business Development Manager <u>k.owens@fugro.com</u> (775) 287-2661 <u>www.fugro.com</u>





Unlocking **Insights** from **Geo-data**